REVENUE (1923) Department.

Mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 242 Mis.

242

DATED 8th February 1923.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Jurisdiction (South Kanara) - Reduction of divisions and taluks - No change.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O	No	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O	No.	Department.	Date.
[illegible]	2515	Rev	4 12-31				

Copy of official Memorandum No.1604 C/22-2, dated 11th April 1922

Owing to financial xxxig stringency the Government are desirous that the question of redistributing the divisions within each district with a view to reduction should be examined carefully. The change in the relations between Madras and Chingleput owing to the creation of Income Tax Commissionership is being considered separately. The Collectors of Kistna, Godavari and Vizagapatam have been asked to consider the special problem affecting their districts. The Board is requested to call on the Collrs. of all other districts to send a report on the constitution of the divisions within their respective districts giving the information asked for below in respect of each division and offering their suggestions for any possible reduction. The Board is requested to send on these reports individually as they are received from Collectors with any remarks or suggestions which they wish to offer.

1. Area.
2. Population.
3. No. of taluks
4. No.of Firkas.
5. No.of Government villages.
6. No.of Proprietary villages.
7. Land Revenue (separately for Govt.and Proprietary villages)
8. No. of Magisterial cases.
9. No. of Appeals.
10. No. of Sub-Magistrate's Courts.
11. No. of miscellaneous cases.
12. No. of Revenue suits under the Estates Land Act.
13. No. of Income Tax assessees.
14. No. of Income Tax Appeals.
15. No.of currents and No.of disposals in each of the xxxxxxxxx registers in use in the office.

2. The information may be furnished for three calendar years, 1919.1920 and 1921.

3. The Government desire that similar enquiries should be made in respect of taluks. The Board of Revenue is requested to direct Collectors to report on their districts giving the information required below together with any suggestions for reduction of taluks.

1. Area.
2. Population.
3. No. of firkas.
4. No. of Govt. villages.
5. No. of Proprietary villages.
6. Land Revenue for proprietary area.
7. Land Revenue for govt. area.

8. Whether there is a deputy Tahsildar.
9. Whether the tahsildar has any magisterial charge.
   If so, statistics of magisterial work.
10 Minor Irrigation works.
11 Income Tax cases.
12 No. of cases decided by Tahsildar
13 No. of cases reported to other officers.
14 No. of darkhast cases.
15 Transfer of patta
   Treasury.
16 No. of chellan receipts.
17 No. of vouchers for disbursements.
18 Total receipts and disbursements
   ~~xxxxxxx~~ Abkari
19 No. of toddy shops.
20 No. of arrack shops.
21 Correspondance.

REVENUE **DEPARTMENT.**

REVENUE-C
3629 C

UNDER REGY. TO GOVT. 16 SEP 1922 REVENUE DEPT.

CURRENT No.

Received ..... 19 *Registered* 18/9/ 1922.

Subject.

JURISDICTION - (South Kanara) - Reduction of Divisions and Taluks - Proposals submitted.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Reference. No. A.3040-22-1.

Station Madras., *Dated the* 13th September 1922.

From

S. Wadsworth, Esq., I.C.S.,
Secretary.
Land Revenue. and
The Board of Revenue, ~~Revenue~~ Settlement, ~~etc.~~
Separate ~~Revenue~~.

Resolution
Reference.

M. E. Couchman, Esq., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

I

Endorsement from Government, Revenue Department, No. 1604-C-22-2, dated the ~~26~~th April 1922.

II

Letter from M.R.Ry. A. Ramacha Nedungadi, Avl., B.A., B.L., Collector of South Kanara, No. D.Dis.2658-22-V2, dated the 29th July 1922:-

Board's Reference No.A.1743/22-1, dated 26-4-1922.

Jurisdiction - Redistribution of Divisions & Taluks.

****

I submit herewith two statements of statistics called for by the Board - one in respect of the divisions and the ~~other~~ in respect of the taluks in this district.

2. The Board further calls for suggestions for any possible reduction and consequent re-distribution of the divisions and taluks. I shall deal with the case of divisions first. None of the Divisional Officers is of opinion that any reduction is possible. The Coondapoor Division already consists of 3 taluks covering an area of 1604 square miles. The Puttur Division is already unweildy with an area of 2,001 square miles. Hence the Mangalore Division which is the smallest in point of area being only 412 square miles in extent cannot be added to either the Puttur or the Coondapoor Division without making the amalgamated Division too bulky for one officer to manage. Perhaps it may be said that the area alone is no good criterion, as the Puttur Division includes a large extent of reserved forest and one taluk of it is served by the railway, but it must be noted that the extent of this division even after excluding the reserved forests which are only 438 square miles is almost equal to the Coondapoor Division, and that the taluk served by the Railway is only the coastal taluk inhabited by the troublesome and litigious Moplas. It is also to be observed that the Mangalore Division though small is by itself sufficiently heavy, including, as it does, the Mangalore Municipality. The Criminal work in this division is heavier than that in the Coondapoor Division and the Income-tax work greater than in the other two divisions put together. The Correspondence work is also not in any way lighter than that in the other divisions. The Divisional Officer, Mangalore, says that from the point of view of efficiency, despatch of work and public convenience, the amalgamation of Mangalore and Puttur divisions would be false economy. I am also of the opinion that it is not possible to make any reduction in the divisions.

3. Turning now to the case of taluks, the only one that can be thought of for abolition is the Karkal taluk which was only created in 1912. The reasons which led up to the formation of this taluk and which are summed up in Mr. Moscardi's letter printed in G.O.No.1774, Revenue dated 15-6-1912 are (1) to relieve the Managalore and Udipi Tahsildars and (2) to bring about a more even distribution of work between the Dy. Officers of Mangalore and Coondapoor. The work of

Tahsildar has already become heavy owing to the abolition of the Deputy Tahsildar's Office at Mulky and the Divisional Officer, Coondapoor, remarks that the Tahsildar of Mangalore will probably find it impossible to manage the work of the taluk if the Karkal Taluk is abolished and the 62 villages of it are re-transferred to Managalore Taluk. Even considering the Karkal Taluk by itself it cannot be said that there is not enough work for the Tahsildar there. The statistics for the last 3 years show that the darkhast work there is heavier than in Coondapoor and Uppinangady Taluks, and that the Kudtala and other Revenue work is not light as compared with the Uppinangady Taluk. For all these reasons, I do not think that any reduction in the existing taluks is possible.

****

REFERENCE.

Submitted to Government.

2. Divisions. The areas of Coondapur and Puttur are fairly large and travelling is difficult. To increase the area of either of these divisions would seem to be out of the question. Apart from the question of area, the work in Mangalore is at least as heavy as that of either of the other two divisions. It is much the heaviest division in respect of Income-Tax work and the Magisterial work is heavier than that of Coondapoor approximately equal to that of Puttur. There is not much difference in the volume of correspondence in each of the three divisions. Moreover if the Treasury Deputy Collector ceases to be under the Collector, as may happen if treasuries are taken over by the Audit Department it will be necessary to have either a head-quarters Divisional Officer or else a Personal Assistant and the reduction of the number of divisions would in the latter case not effect any considerable saving.

Taluks. From the statistics given by the Collector no reduction appears possible in the number of taluks, as the aboltion of Karkal would make Udipi and Mangalore too heavy. Incidentally Mulky Deputy Tahsildar's Office has already been abolished - Vide G.O. No. 1.80, Revenue, dated 10-1-1922 - and this has added to the work of the Mangalore taluk.

(4)

In these circumstances the Board considers that reduction of taluks or

or divisions would be feasible in this district.

S e c r e t a r y.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

V.C/15-9-1922.

A

Particulars	Divisional Officer Mangalore.			Divisional Officer Kathin			Divisional Officer Coondapur	
	1919	1920	1921	1919	1920	1921	1919	1920
1. Area in acre		-	263941	-		1280845		
2. Population			303593	-	-	450710		
3. Number of Taluk		1	1	2	2	2	3	3
4. Number of Firka	4	4	7	8	8	13	10	10
5. No of Govt Villages	180	180	180	296	296	296	323	323
6. No. of Proprietary Villages								
7. Land Revenue (1) For Govt Villages	534104	539955	584351	946960	946788	942200	1170439	1173878
(2) For Proprietary Villages	-							
8. No of Magisterial Cases	71	72	81	91	103	90	63	49
9. No. of Appeals	114	53	47	32	76	79	43	47
10. No. of Sub Magistrate's Court	5	5	5	4	4	4	3	3
11. No. of Mamlatdar's	23	11	13	21	16	22	11	20
12 No. of Revenue Inquiries Estate Land Act	-		-	-			2	3
13. No. of Income tax Assessees	136	218	228	73	..	60	84	63
14. No. of Income tax Appeals	16	11	10	18	36	13	11	10
15. No of Inquiries	6755	7103	6367	7369	17357	6962	7308	1402
16. No. of disposals	1497	1365	1230	1599	1525	1212	2070	1865
no. of papers entered in the disposal Registers excluding bodies N. Dis & C papers								

(Truecopy)

RL
18.7

SECRETAR

Particulars	Mangalore Taluk			Kasaragod Taluk			Uppi
	1919	1920	1921	1919	1920	1921	1919
	acres	acres	acres				
1. Area			263941			487597	
2. Population	285474	285474	303593	247467	247467	256931	18311
3. No. of Tirkas	4	4	7	4	4	6	4
4. No. of Govt villages	180	80	180	114	114	114	182
5. No. of Inhabited villages			-		-	-	
6. Land Revenue per Govt acre.							
7. Land Rev. for Govt acre.	534104	539955	584351	504130 ~~508244~~	508241 ~~506642~~	506643 ~~442831~~	44287
8. Whether there is a deputy Tahsildar	2 Dy Tahs	2 Dy Tahs	2 Dy Tahs	one	one	one	one
9. Whether the Tahsildar has any magt. charge. If so Statistics of Magl. Work	Nil	Nil	Nil		yes from 18-21 1st class powers cases disposed of 10 —	yes from 18-21 1st class powers cases disposed of 10 —	yes 26 Ca
10. Minor Irrigation works							
11. No. of Y.T. Cases decided by Tahs.	168	114	114	57	59	47	126
12. Reported to Higher Officers	29	48	14	73	71	60	36
13. No of Darkhast Cases	1409	1382	1331	879	862	088	496
14. Transfer of Patta	1663	1706	1758	1551	1601	1586	980
15. No of Salami Receipts	7879	7983	8372	8496	9057	10119	8283
16. No of Mr. for disbursement	387	307	336	2047	2443	2164	5861
17. Total Receipts	1096792	1181997	1145218	1073982	1154470	1182607	1181171
Disbursement	1099293	1178134	1140644	1072331	895507	1194427	1188291
18. No. of Toddy Shops	148	147	146	138	132	129	129
19. No. of Arrack Shops	93	40	89	44	44	44	94
20. Correspondence Current	14716	14057	12098	10362 ~~10553~~	10553	11283	10291
a number of papers disposals entered in the disposal registers exclusive Lodged Mr. & Papers.	1475	1592	1345	1779	1868	1897	1248

B

dy Taluk		Nidipi Taluk			Coondapur Taluk			Karkal Taluk	
920	1921	1919	1920	1921	1919	1920	1921	1919	1920
	793165			228186			396189		
110	193779	201699	201699	209909	139599	139599	139350	133186	133186
	7	4	4	5	3	3	4	3	3
2	182	115	115	115	103	103	103	105	105
-									
-									
547	435558	471021	468774	470476	378305	378014	379001	326108	327030
e	one	no	no	no	no	no	no		
Cases	Yes 14 Cases	Yes 28	Yes 6	Yes 4	no	no	-		
							-		
59	17	53	44	25	36	22	21	16	16
13	15	4	6	2		3		12	17
2	584	869	1007	864	205	303	602	908	763
33	787	1486	1677	1697	1302	1363	1101	779	765
94	9366	7176	8271	7540	5315	5422	5449	5305	5694
51	6212	4716	5618	5447	3954	3876	3987	2947	3248
3540	1154974	1240981	1227781	1211838	735020	801041	819677	582691	578113
268	1131061	1150836	1238665	1226461	751961	796645	815520	578580	683214
28	127	75	74	74	50	49	48	82	80
90	89	40	40	140	37	37	37	59	67
458	10066	12651	15275	12996	1581	7927	7401	10077	11039
86	1075	1546	1411	1188	1238	1109	1005	1486	1443

(Trincopy

157

SECRETARY

Subject Reduction of Divisions & Taluks in S. Kanara.

C.No. 3629 C. from Board of R. & Sett. Ref. No. A. 3040-22-1 dt 13.9.

To Under Secretary

Submitted.

2/ The Collr. of South Kanara & the Board of Revenue agree that no reduction is feasible in the number of revenue divisions & taluks in the South Kanara district

Cir 6.10.22
RD. 10.10.22 from
28.9.22

the
3.10.22

Informal to C2

Cir
10.10.22

To Under Secretary

Submitted this may lie over until the proposed meeting with Board Members is convened for the purpose of discussing questions regarding reduction of divisional & taluk charges.

from
10.10.22

the
12.10.22

Extract of C2's remarks in the file relating to Tinnevelly.

" All proposals in which the Collrs and the Board differ had better be put off

separately for consideration at a conference which I intend to call. × ×

N. H.

24. 12. 22.

To Under Secretary

In respect of the South Kanara District Both the Collr. & the Board agree that no change is feasible. It is for orders whether the Govt. will agree with the Board's views. Subject to orders a draft is submitted for approval.

Hon
24.1.23
HR
24.1.23

HS
25.1.23

I am under the impression that H.M. accepted the Board's conclusions in this case

25/1/23.

Yes; I did.

7.2.23

7/2/23

Cir 26.1.23.
RH 7.2.23

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..		
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated ..... 192 .

" " " " ....., " ..... 192 .

READ ALSO;—

C. No. 3629 C., From the Board of Rev. & P. & Sett. Dy No 4.3040-22 dated 13. 9. 192 —

" " ..... " " ..... " ....., " ..... 192 .

" " ..... " " ..... " ....., " ..... 192 .

ORDER.

No. 242, dated 8-2- 1923.

Mis.

The Govt. concur with the Board of Revenue in the view that no change is feasible in the South Kanara District.

Read herein
5 CS pm 24.1.23

To the Board of Rev. & P. & Sett.

Re
26.1.23

23/1/(illegible).